

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/975,300 | CANAANI ET AL. |
| | Examiner Padmashri Ponnaluri | Art Unit 1639 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri. (3) _____.

(2) Applicants attorney Mark S. Cohen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has informed applicants attorney that the rejections of record are overcome by the amendments, and suggested minor changes to the claims such that the claims are in better condition for allowance. Applicants attorney has authorized the corrections and to cancel the non-elected claims by Examiners amendment.